

# Nonlinear Conservation Laws in Applied Sciences

## 2017 Summer School in Nonlinear PDE Lecture 3

Konstantina Trivisa

June, 2017

# Problem D.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ \partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x(p(\varrho) + \eta) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - \lambda \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}) \\ \quad \quad \quad = -(\eta + \beta \varrho) \nabla \Phi, \\ \partial_t \eta + \operatorname{div}(\eta(\mathbf{u} - \nabla \Phi)) - \Delta \eta = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

# B.C.

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \nabla \eta \cdot \nu + \eta \nabla \Phi \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$$

## Strategy

### Variational Formulation

- Derivatives  $\sim$  in the sense of distributions
- Equations  $\sim$  family of integral identities

## Approach

- collect all available **a priori** estimates
- construct a sequence of **approximate problems** whose solutions satisfy these estimate
- show that the sequence of approximate solutions **converges** to solution of the original problem.

# Weak solutions

The idea of weak solutions is based on the concept of *generalized derivatives* or distributions. Classical functions are replaced by *integral averages*

$$f : Q \rightarrow R \approx \int_Q f \varphi, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(Q).$$

$C_c^\infty(Q)$  denotes the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in  $Q$ .

Differential operators  $D$  can be conveniently expressed by means of a formal by-parts integration:

$$Df \approx - \int_Q f D\varphi, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(Q).$$



Any (locally) integrable function possesses derivatives of arbitrary order!

## Renormalized solutions

Multiplying the continuity equation by  $(B'(\varrho))$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (B(\varrho)) + \operatorname{div} (B(\varrho)\mathbf{u}) + b(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$b(z) = B'(z)z - B(z) \quad (2)$$

### Definition

We say that  $\varrho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  is a renormalized solution of the continuity equation on  $(0, T) \times \Omega$  if (1) holds in  $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega)$  for any functions

$$B \in C[0, \infty) \cap C^1(0, \infty), \quad b \in C[0, \infty) \text{ bounded on } [0, \infty),$$

$$B(0) = b(0) - 0$$

satisfying (1)-(2) for all  $z > 0$ .

# Free energy solutions

$\{\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta\}$  is an admissible **free energy solution** of **Problem D**, supplemented with the initial data  $\{\varrho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0\}$  provided that

- $\varrho \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$  is a **renormalized** solution of the continuity equation, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\varrho B(\varrho) \partial_t \varphi + \varrho B(\varrho) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - b(\varrho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \varphi) dx dt \\ = - \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 B(\varrho_0) \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \end{aligned}$$

holds for any test function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T) \times \overline{\Omega})$  and suitable  $b$  and  $B$ .

- The balance of momentum holds in distributional sense. The velocity field  $\mathbf{u}$  belongs to the space  $L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$ , therefore it is legitimate to require  $\mathbf{u}$  to satisfy the boundary conditions in the sense of traces.

- $\eta \geq 0$  is a weak solution of the Smoluchowski equation. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \eta \partial_t \varphi + \eta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi - \eta \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \varphi - \nabla \eta \nabla \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ = - \int_\Omega \eta_0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied for test functions  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T) \times \bar{\Omega})$  and any  $T > 0$ . In particular,

$$\eta \in L^2([0, T]; L^{3/2}(\Omega)) \cap L^1(0, T; W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))$$

- Given the total free-energy of the system by

$$E(\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta)(t) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{a}{\gamma - 1} \varrho^\gamma + \eta \log \eta + (\beta \varrho + \eta) \Phi \right),$$

then  $E(\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta)(t)$  is finite and bounded by the initial energy of the system

$$E(\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta)(t) \leq E(\varrho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0) \quad \text{a.e. } t > 0$$

Moreover, the following free energy-dissipation inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \lambda |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2 + |2\nabla \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta} \nabla \Phi|^2) \, dt \\ \leq E(\varrho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0) \end{aligned}$$



# Theorem

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  bounded domain and  $(\Omega, \Phi)$  satisfy the confinement hypotheses **(HC)**. Then, **Problem D** admits a weak solution  $\{\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta\}$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$ . In addition,

i) the total fluid mass and particle mass given by

$$M_{\varrho}(t) = \int_{\Omega} \varrho(t, \cdot) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\eta}(t) = \int_{\Omega} \eta(t, \cdot) \, dx,$$

respectively, are constants of motion.

ii) the density satisfies the higher integrability result

$$\varrho \in L^{\gamma+\Theta}((0, T) \times \Omega), \text{ for any } T > 0,$$

where  $\Theta = \min\{\frac{2}{3}\gamma - 1, \frac{1}{4}\}$ .

## An approximating scheme with the aid of Faedo-Galerkin approximations

Here the approximate solutions are constructed using a three-level approximation scheme. Let  $X_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  denote a family of finite dimensional spaces consisting of smooth vector-valued functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$  vanishing of  $\partial\Omega$ .

Two different types of regularizations are introduced:

- 1 The  $\varepsilon$ -regularizations are included to guarantee that certain *a priori* estimates hold true while the energy inequality remains valid at each level of the approximation.
- 2 The  $\delta$ -regularization introduces an artificial pressure which is essential in obtaining the convergence result.

Thus, we consider the approximate system:

$$\partial_t \varrho_n + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) = \varepsilon \Delta \varrho_n$$

$$\partial_t \eta_n + \operatorname{div}(\eta_n(\mathbf{u}_n - \nabla \Phi)) = \Delta \eta_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n : \nabla \mathbf{w} + (a \varrho_n^\gamma + \eta_n + \delta \varrho_n^\alpha) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \varepsilon \nabla \varrho_n \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_n \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (\eta_n \varrho_n + \eta_n) \nabla \Phi \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\mathbf{w} \in X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is a finite dimensional space and  $\alpha$  is suitably large exponent.

## Boundary conditions

$$\nabla_x \varrho \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}_n = (\nabla_x \eta_n + \eta_n \nabla_x \Phi) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$$

# Faedo-Galerkin method

The (approximate) initial boundary value problem will be solved via a modified **Faedo-Galerkin method**. We start by introducing a finite-dimensional space

$$X_n = \text{span}\{\pi_j\}_{j=1}^n, \quad n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

with  $\pi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^N$  being a set of linearly independent functions which are dense in  $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The approximate velocities  $\mathbf{u}_n \in C([0, T]; X_n)$  satisfy a set of integral equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varrho \mathbf{u}_n(\tau) \cdot \pi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{m}_{0,\delta} \cdot \pi = \\ \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega} (\varrho \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n - \mathbb{S}_n) : \nabla \eta + (p(\varrho) + \eta + \delta \varrho^\beta) \operatorname{div} \pi \, dx dt \\ \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{u}_n \nabla \varrho) \cdot \pi \, dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any test function  $\pi \in X_n$ , all  $\tau \in [0, T]$ .

The goal is to seek a **fixed point**

$$\mathbf{u}_n \in C([0, T]; X_n).$$

In order to carry this out, we need information on the mappings assigning each  $\mathbf{u}_n$  to unique solutions  $\varrho, \eta$  via the approximate continuity and Smoluchowski equations.

# Existence for $\varrho[u_n]$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain of class  $C^{2+\nu}$ ,  $0 < \nu \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $\varrho_{0,\delta} \in C^{2+\nu}(\bar{\Omega})$  is positive, and satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_x \varrho_{0,\delta} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \varrho[\mathbf{u}]$  assign to any  $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3))$  a unique solution  $\varrho$  of the modified fluid density equation. Then this map takes **bounded sets** in the space  $C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3))$ , into **bounded sets** of the space

$$V := \begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho \in C([0, T]; C^\nu(\bar{\Omega})) \\ \varrho \in C([0, T]; C^{2+\nu}(\bar{\Omega})) \end{cases}$$

and the map  $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3)) \rightarrow \varrho[\mathbf{u}] \in C^1([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$  is continuous.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\inf_{\Omega} \varrho_{0,\delta}) \exp \left( - \int_0^\tau \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_n(t) \|_{L^\infty} dt \right) \\ \leq \varrho(\tau, x) \leq \\ (\sup_{\Omega} \varrho_{0,\delta}) \exp \left( - \int_0^\tau \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_n(t) \|_{L^\infty} dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for any  $\tau \geq 0$  and any  $x \in \Omega$ .



- The mapping  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \varrho[\mathbf{u}]$ ,

$$\varrho : C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)) \rightarrow C([0, T]; C^{2+\nu}(\bar{\Omega}))$$

has the property

$$\|\varrho(\mathbf{u}^1) - \varrho(\mathbf{u}^2)\|_{C([0, T]; W^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq T c(r, T) \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{C([0, T]; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))},$$

for any  $\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2$  belonging to the set

$$M_r = \{\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)) \mid \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq r, \forall t\}.$$

# Existence of $\eta[\mathbf{u}_n]$

**Proposition.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded domain of class  $C^{2,\nu}$ ,  $0 < \nu \leq 1$ . Assume that  $\eta_{0,\delta} \in C^{0,\nu}(\bar{\Omega})$ , and  $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3))$ . Let the compatibility condition

$$(\nabla_x \eta_{0,\delta}(\mathbf{x}) + \eta_{0,\delta}(x) \nabla \Phi(x)) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$$

be satisfied. Then the Smoluchowski equation has a unique classical solution  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \in V$ . The solution operator  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \eta[\mathbf{u}]$  assigning to any  $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3))$  the unique solution of Smoluchowski equation takes **bounded sets** of  $C([0, T]; C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3))$  into **bounded sets** in  $V$ .

## Existence of $\mathbf{u}_n$

It is now time to establish the local existence of a solution  $\mathbf{u}_n$  on a short interval  $[0, T(n)]$  for any fixed  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Here, we express

$$\mathbf{u}_n(\tau) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\varrho(t)] \left( \mathbf{m}_{0,\delta}^* + \int_0^\tau \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{u}_n(t), \varrho(t), \eta(t)] dt \right).$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{M}[\varrho] : X_n \rightarrow X_n^*, \quad \mathcal{N}[\varrho] : X_n \rightarrow X_n^*$$

are two operators defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{M}[\varrho] \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \varrho \pi \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{u}_n, \varrho, \eta], \pi \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} [\varrho \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n - \mathbb{S}] : \nabla \pi + [p(\varrho) + \eta + \delta \varrho^\alpha] \operatorname{div} \pi \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} [\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{u}_n \nabla \varrho + (\beta \varrho + \eta) \nabla_x \Phi] \cdot \pi \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, with  $\varrho = \varrho[\mathbf{u}_n]$ ,  $\eta = \eta[\mathbf{u}_n]$ , while  $X_n^*$  denotes the dual space of the finite dimensional space  $X_n$  and  $\mathbf{m}^* \in X_n^*$  is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{m}_{0,\delta}^*, \pi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{m}_{0,\delta} \cdot \pi \, dx \quad \text{for} \quad \pi \in X_n.$$

Next, observe that the Propositions above now yield that

$$\mathcal{T}[\mathbf{u}] = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\varrho(t)] \left( \mathbf{m}_{0,\delta}^* + \int_0^\tau \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{u}(t), \varrho(t), \vartheta(t)] \, dt \right)$$

maps compactly the ball

$$B = \{\mathbf{v} \in C([0, T]; X_n) \mid \|\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta,n}\|_{X_n} \leq 1\} \subset C([0, T]; X_n)$$

into itself at least for a small enough time  $T = T(n)$ .

By applying the Schauder fixed point theorem and using the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\varrho(\mathbf{u}^1)] - \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\varrho(\mathbf{u}^2)]\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_n^*, X_n)} \leq C(n, n) \|\varrho(\mathbf{u}^1) - \varrho(\mathbf{u}^2)\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

we obtain the existence of at least one solution  $\mathbf{u}_n$  on the interval  $[0, T(n)]$ .

### Theorem (Schauder fixed point theorem)

*Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a closed, convex, bounded subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  a compact operator. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point.*

Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{T}[\mathbf{u}] - \mathbf{u}_{0, \delta, n}\|_{X_n} \leq c \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\|\varrho(t) - \varrho_{0, \delta}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + t).$$

Then, the **continuity in time** for  $\varrho(t)$  implies the right-hand side is made small provided  $T = T(n)$  is small. We conclude  $\mathcal{T}$  maps  $\mathcal{B}$  into itself over a short time interval.

# Uniform bounds

In order to provide bounds on the various quantities, an **approximate energy balance** is derived by using  $\mathbf{u}$  as a test function in the approximate momentum equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Downarrow \\
 & \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho_n |\mathbf{u}_n|^2 + \frac{a}{\gamma-1} \varrho_n^\gamma + \frac{\delta}{\alpha-1} \varrho_n^\alpha + \eta_n \ln \eta_n + \eta_n \Phi \right) dx \\
 & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_n) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_n + |2\nabla \sqrt{\eta_n} + \sqrt{\eta_n} \nabla \Phi|^2) dx dt \\
 & + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varrho_n|^2 (a\gamma \varrho_n^{\gamma-2} + \delta a \varrho_n^{\alpha-2}) dx dt \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho_{0,\delta} |\mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}|^2 + \frac{a}{\gamma-1} \varrho_{0,\delta}^\gamma + \frac{\delta}{\alpha-1} \varrho_{0,\delta}^\alpha + \eta_{0,\delta} \ln \eta_{0,\delta} + \eta_{0,\delta} \Phi \right) dx \\
 & - \beta \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \cdot \nabla \Phi dx dt
 \end{aligned}$$

The terms on the left-hand side of the approximate energy balance are all non- negative with the potential exception of  $\eta_n \log \eta_n$ . We need bounds on the negative contribution  $\eta_n \log_- \eta_n$ .

### Lemma

*Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain, and  $\eta \in L^1_+(\Omega)$ . Assume*

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta \log \eta(x) dx \leq C_1 \text{ for some constant } C_1.$$

*Then  $\eta \log \eta \in L^1(\Omega)$  and*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\eta(x) \log \eta(x)| dx \leq c(C_1, |\Omega|).$$



The following bounds are evident from a quick inspection of the approximate energy balance

- $\{\sqrt{\varrho}\mathbf{u}\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$
- $\{\varrho\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^\infty(0, T; L^\gamma(\Omega))$
- $\{\eta \ln \eta\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$
- $\{\mathbf{u}\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$
- $\{\nabla \sqrt{\eta}\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$

In addition,

$$\{\eta\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta} \in_b L^2(0, T; W^{1,\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)).$$

# Convergence of the Approximate Solutions

Now, the goal is to show that the approximate solutions  $\{\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta\}_{n,\varepsilon,\delta}$  converge to a solution  $\{\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \eta\}$  in the sense that we discussed.

The limits are taken as follows.

- Take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain  $\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho_\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta_\varepsilon$  in the Faedo-Galerkin approximations.
- Take  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to obtain  $\varrho_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varrho_\delta$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\delta$ , and  $\eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow \eta_\delta$ .
- Take  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  for  $\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_\delta \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ , and  $\eta_\delta \rightarrow \eta$ .

The next step is to show that the above limits can be taken.

# Some Remarks on the Existence Theory of Compressible Flow

- Having set the approximation scheme, the existence of solutions are proved locally in time using a **fixed-point argument** and then extended to the full time interval  $[0, T]$  using **uniform-in-time estimates**.
- Thereafter, uniform estimates provided by an energy inequality at the Galerkin level allow us to pass to the limit in the first level of the approximation. At each level, **weak lower semicontinuity of the norms** allow us to keep the energy inequality valid.

- In passing to the limit at the second and third levels of the approximation, the linear terms in the NSS system cause no difficulty.
- The nonlinear convective terms

$$(\varrho \mathbf{u}, \eta \mathbf{u}, \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})$$

are handled in a natural way as the NSS system provides estimates on the time derivatives

$$(\partial_t \varrho, \partial_t(\eta \mathbf{u}), \partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u})).$$

Along with a priori estimates on the velocity

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)),$$

the passage to the limit in the convective terms follows.

- The difficulty is passing to the limit in the pressure term

$$p(\varrho) = \varrho^\gamma.$$

First, the a priori estimates only provide estimates on the pressure in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ , which doesn't allow passage to a weak-limit. It is necessary to prove estimates of the form:

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \varrho^{\gamma+\omega} dx dt \leq c,$$

where  $\omega > 0$  is small. This type of estimate, first shown by P.L. Lions, allows us to pass to the limit weakly in the pressure to deduce

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon, \delta}^\gamma \rightarrow \overline{\varrho^\gamma},$$

in a suitable Lebesgue space, where the overbar indicates a weak limit. The trick is now to show that in fact  $\varrho^\gamma = \overline{\varrho^\gamma}$  almost everywhere, which requires strong convergence of the fluid density.

## Two tools are employed:

- *Renormalization of the continuity equation:* Provided the density  $\varrho$  is square integrable, we are allowed to conclude that for a suitable function  $B(\varrho)$  that

$$\partial_t B(\varrho) + \operatorname{div}_x (B(\varrho) \mathbf{u}) + (B'(\varrho) \varrho - B(\varrho)) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} = 0$$

holds in the sense of distributions. This is where Lions requires that  $\gamma \geq 9/5$ , ensuring square integrability of the density in light of the pressure estimates.

- The weak continuity of the so-called effective viscous pressure, defined as

$$P_{\text{eff}} = \varrho^\gamma - (\lambda + 2\mu) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}.$$

Choosing the convex function  $B(\varrho) = \varrho \log \varrho$  in the renormalized equation for both  $\varrho_{\varepsilon, \delta}$  and the limit density  $\varrho$ , we can show that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} (\overline{\varrho \log \varrho} - \varrho \log \varrho)(t) dx \leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\varrho \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} - \overline{\varrho \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}}) dx dt. \quad (4)$$

### Remarks:

- The left inequality follows from the convexity of the map  $z \rightarrow z \log z$ . Provided we can show the upper bound of the inequality is non-positive, it follows that

$$\varrho \log \varrho = \overline{\varrho \log \varrho} \text{ a.a.}$$

- To close the argument, the weak continuity of the effective viscous pressure is used to ensure the **non-positivity** of the upper bound in the above relation by transferring information from the monotonicity of the pressure  $\varrho^\gamma$  to the terms  $\varrho \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}$ .

In particular the result on the effective viscous pressure reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\varrho_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{\gamma} - (\lambda + 2\mu) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \varrho_{\varepsilon, \delta} dx dt \\ = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\overline{\varrho^{\gamma}} - (\lambda + 2\mu) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}) \varrho dx dt. \end{aligned}$$



This highly nontrivial equality, along with the monotonicity of the pressure implying

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \overline{\varrho}^{\gamma} \varrho dx dt \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon, \delta} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{\gamma+1} dx dt,$$

allow us to conclude the **nonpositivity of the upper bound** in (4).

Finally, Feireisl (1999) showed that  $(\varrho, \mathbf{u})$  is a renormalized solution even if the density is not square integrable, by obtaining estimates on the possible **density oscillations** that can occur in the limit passage. In particular, introducing the oscillations defect measure

$$\mathbf{osc}_p[\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho](\mathcal{O}) := \sup_{k \geq 1} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |T_k(\varrho_\delta) - T_k(\varrho)|^p dv dt \right).$$

# Some fundamental techniques

How do we deal with non-linearities?

- **The Div-Curl Lemma:** One of the major discoveries of the compensated compactness theory. [Tartar (1975), Murat]
- **Weak continuity of the effective viscous pressure:** The quantity

$$P_{\text{eff}} = p - (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}$$

is known as *effective viscous pressure*.



STRONG CONVERGENCE OF THE FLUID DENSITY

- **Aubin-Lions lemma**



STRONG CONVERGENCE OF THE PARTICLE DENSITY

- **Multipliers Technique:** relies on employing special test functions (solutions of an elliptic problem) in the weak formulation of the momentum equation.



INCREASE THE INTEGRABILITY OF THE PRESSURE.

### Lemma (Div-Curl Lemma)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a domain. Let  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  two sequences of vector functions such that

$$\mathbf{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \text{ weakly in } L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (6)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1, \quad 1 < p, q < \infty.$$

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{U}_n = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \mathbf{V}_n = \nabla G_n, \text{ with } G_n \text{ bounded in } W^{1,q}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{U}_n \cdot \mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{V} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

# Proof of the Simplified Div-Curl Lemma

Up to a subsequence

$$G_n \rightarrow G \text{ weakly in } W^{1,q}, \text{ where } \nabla G = \mathbf{V}.$$

Taking arbitrary test function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathbf{U}_n \cdot \mathbf{V}_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathbf{U}_n \cdot \nabla G_n \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} G_n \mathbf{U}_n \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx$$

where the most right integral tends to

$$- \int_{\Omega} G \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{V} \, dx.$$

# Aubin-Lions lemma

## Lemma

*Let  $X_0, X$  and  $X_1$  be three Banach spaces with  $X_0 \subset X \subset X_1$ . Suppose that  $X_0$  is compactly embedded in  $X$  and that  $X$  is continuously embedded in  $X_1$ . For  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ , let*

$$W = \{\mathbf{u} \in L^p([0, T]; X_0), \dot{\mathbf{u}} \in L^q([0, T]; X_1)\}.$$

- (i) If  $p < +\infty$ , then the embedding of  $W$  into  $L^p([0, T]; X_1)$  is compact.*
- (ii) If  $p = +\infty$  and  $q > 1$ , then the embedding of  $W$  into  $C([0, T]; X)$  is compact.*

# A consequence of Aubin-Lions lemma

## Lemma

*Let  $X \subset B \subset Y$  be Banach spaces with  $X \subset B$  compactly. Then, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\{v : v \in L^p(0, T; X), v_t \in L^1(0, T; Y)\}$  is compactly embedded in  $L^p(0, T; B)$ .*

## Proof.

For the proof we refer to Simon (1987). □



Thus, applying Aubin-Lions Lemma with  $p = 2$ ,  $X = W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ ,  $B = L^{3/2}(\Omega)$ , and  $Y = L^1(\Omega)$  we arrive at

$$\{\eta\}_{n,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \eta_\delta \text{ in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}(\Omega)). \quad (7)$$

# Vanishing viscosity limit

The next step is to let the parameter  $\varepsilon$  vanish and demonstrate that the solution  $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon)$ , constructed in the previous step, converges to  $(\varrho_\delta, \mathbf{u}_\delta, \eta_\delta)$ .

**Challenge:** At this stage, we lose control of  $\varrho_\varepsilon$  in a positive Sobolev space. Demonstrating strong compactness for  $\varrho_\varepsilon$  is the key in order to pass to the limit in the nonlinear terms.

**More challenges:**

- The energy inequality provides bounds for the pressure  $p(\varrho_\varepsilon) + \delta \varrho_\varepsilon$  in  $L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$ . This estimate is not strong enough to prevent concentration phenomena.

The following lemma provides necessary *pressure estimates*.

### Lemma

*There exists a nonnegative constant  $c$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} dx dt \leq c.$$

### Proof.

We postpone the proof for later. □

# Strong convergence of the density

There are two key results needed to obtain the strong convergence of the density:

- establishing the weak continuity of the effective viscous pressure, and
- renormalizing the continuity equation both at the level of the approximate solution and the limiting solution. The former is originally due to Lions [54] and asserts that the effective viscous pressure, defined as

$$P_{\text{eff}} = p - (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u},$$

satisfies a *weak continuity property* in the sense that its product with another weakly converging sequence converges to the product of the weak limits.

The latter result allows us to deduce that if  $\varrho$  satisfies the continuity equation, then so does a suitable nonlinear composition  $B(\varrho)$ , up to minor modification of the equation.

# Riesz operator

To this end, we introduce the *Riesz integral operator*,  $\mathcal{R}$  :

$$\mathcal{R}_i[v](\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_{x_i} = c \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon \leq |\mathbf{y}| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} v(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \frac{y_i}{|\mathbf{y}|^{N+1}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

or, equivalently, in terms of its Fourier symbol,

$$\mathcal{R}_i(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_i}{|\xi|}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

## Lemma (Calderon and Zygmund Theorem)

*The Riesz operator  $\mathcal{R}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  defined above is a bounded linear operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

# Commutators involving the Riesz Operator - Weak convergence

## Theorem

Let

$$\mathbf{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \text{ weakly in } L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (9)$$

with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{s} \leq 1$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon] - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon] \cdot \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}] - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}] \cdot \mathbf{U}$$

weakly in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

# Proof of Commutator's Theorem

Writing

$$\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon] - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon] \cdot \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon = (\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon]) \cdot \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon] - (\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon]) \cdot \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon]$$

we can easily check that

$$\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon]) = \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon]) = 0,$$

while  $\mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon], \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon]$ , are gradient, in particular

$$\operatorname{curl}_x \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon] = \operatorname{curl}_x \mathcal{R}[\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon] = 0.$$

The desired conclusion follows from the Div-Curl Lemma.

Thus, the only terms to consider in the momentum and energy balances are the pressure-related terms. First, using the Bogovskii operator  $\mathcal{B}$ , analogous to the inverse divergence, the test function  $\mathbf{w} := \psi \varphi$  where  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(0, T)$ ,  $\varphi := \mathcal{B}[\varrho_\varepsilon - \bar{\varrho}]$  where  $\bar{\varrho} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon dx$  in the approximate momentum equation and performing some analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega (a \varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma + \eta_\varepsilon + \delta \varrho_\varepsilon^\alpha) \varrho_\varepsilon dx dt &= \int_0^T \psi \bar{\varrho} \int_\Omega a \varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma + \eta_\varepsilon + \delta \varrho_\varepsilon^\alpha dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_t \varphi dx dt - \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla \varphi dx dt + \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega (\beta \varrho_\varepsilon + \eta_\varepsilon) \nabla \Phi \cdot \varphi dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \psi' \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \psi \int_\Omega \nabla \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi dx dt. \end{aligned}$$



Similarly, the test function

$$\psi \zeta \varphi_2 \text{ with } \varphi_2 := \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho)$$

is used in the weak limit of the approximate (level- $\varepsilon$ ) momentum equation, to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi \zeta ((\overline{a \varrho^\gamma} + \eta + \delta \varrho^\alpha)_\delta \varrho_\delta - \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta)) dx dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi \zeta (\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u} + \delta \cdot \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta) - (\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \otimes \mathbf{u}_\delta) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta)) dx dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi \zeta (\beta \varrho_\delta + \eta_\delta) \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \mathbf{u}_\delta) dx dt \\
&- \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi (\overline{a \varrho^\gamma} + \eta + \delta \varrho^\alpha)_\delta \nabla \zeta \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta) dx dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta) : \nabla \zeta \otimes \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta) dx dt \\
&- \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi (\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \otimes \mathbf{u}_\delta : \nabla \zeta \otimes \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta) dx dt \\
&- \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta \varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \partial_t \psi \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega \varrho_\delta) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From the convergence results stated earlier and the fact that from the theory of elliptic problems the operator  $\nabla\Delta^{-1}$  gains a spatial derivative, i.e., due to the embedding  $W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,

$$\nabla\Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \nabla\Delta^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\delta)$$

in  $C([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Thus, taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the previous two equations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \psi \zeta((a\varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma + \eta_\varepsilon + \delta\varrho_\varepsilon^\alpha)\varrho_\varepsilon - \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\varepsilon)) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \psi \zeta(\overline{(a\varrho^\gamma + \eta + \delta\varrho^\alpha)}_\delta \varrho_\delta - \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\delta)) dx dt \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \psi \zeta(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - (\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\varepsilon)) dx dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_\Omega \psi \zeta(\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta) - (\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \otimes \mathbf{u}_\delta) : \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega\varrho_\delta)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

The goal now is to show that the difference of the last two integrals above vanishes when the limit for  $\varepsilon$  is taken. This follows from the Commutators's lemma:

### Lemma

Let  $\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  weakly in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $r_\varepsilon \rightarrow r$  weakly in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Define  $s$  such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{s} < 1.$$

Then

$$r_\varepsilon \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon) - \mathcal{RT}(r_\varepsilon) \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow r \mathcal{RT}(\mathbf{V}) - \mathcal{RT} \mathbf{V}$$

weakly in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Using the Commutator Lemma and some analysis, the weak compactness identity for the pressure is derived:

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{[a\varrho^\gamma + \eta + \delta\varrho^\alpha]\varrho}_\delta - \left(\frac{4}{3}\mu + \lambda\right) (\overline{\varrho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}})_\delta \\ &= (\overline{a\varrho^\gamma + \eta + \delta\varrho^\alpha})_\delta \varrho_\delta - \left(\frac{4}{3}\mu + \lambda\right) \varrho_\delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying the approximate continuity equation by  $G'(\varrho_\varepsilon) = \varrho_\varepsilon \ln \varrho_\varepsilon$  noting that  $G$  is a smooth convex function, integrating by parts, and taking the weak limit we obtain after some analysis, that

$$(\overline{\varrho \ln \varrho})_\delta = \varrho_\delta \ln \varrho_\delta$$

which since  $z \mapsto z \ln z$  is strictly convex, implies that  $\varrho_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varrho_\delta$  almost everywhere on  $(0, T) \times \Omega$ .

# Pressure Estimates

## Challenge:

The central problem of the mathematical theory of the N-S system is to **control the pressure**. Under the constitutive relations presented here, the pressure  $p$  is a priori bounded in  $L^1(\Omega)$  uniformly with respect to time.

$$\|p\|_{L^1((0,T;L^1(\Omega)))} \leq c_0(E_0, S_0, B_f, T).$$

The non-reflexive Banach space  $L^1$  is not convenient as *bounded sequences are not necessarily weakly pre-compact; more precisely, concentration phenomena may occur to prevent bounded sequences in this space from converging weakly to an integrable function*.

Our goal here to find a priori estimates for  $p$  in the weakly closed **reflexive space**  $L^q((0, T) \times \Omega))$  for a certain  $q > 1$ .

**Idea:** Compute  $p$  by means of the momentum equation and use the available estimates in order to control the remaining terms.

# Local pressure estimates

Idea:

Compute the pressure  $p$  in the momentum equation and use the energy estimates already available. Applying the divergence operator to the momentum equation we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \varrho^\gamma &= \operatorname{div}_x \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{S} - \operatorname{div}_x \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \Delta \eta - \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \beta + \eta) \nabla \Phi \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since we already know about the space setting for the quantities  $\{\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}, \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}, \mathbb{S}\}$ , relation (10) can be viewed as an elliptic equation to be resolved with respect to the pressure  $p$  to obtain an estimate

$$p \in L^r((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ for } r > 0.$$

The most problematic term is certainly

$$\partial_t \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u})$$

for which there are no estimates available.

Here, the idea is to use the fact that  $\varrho$  is a **renormalized solution** of the continuity equation. In that case, we can use (10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \psi p B(\varrho) \, dx dt \\ & \approx \text{bounded terms} + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \psi (\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x)[\varrho \mathbf{u}] B(\varrho) \, dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \psi (\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x)[\varrho \mathbf{u}] \partial_t B(\varrho) \, dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ , where



$$\partial_t B(\varrho) = -b(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{div}_x (B(\varrho) \mathbf{u}).$$

In other words, if we succeed to make this formal procedure rigorous, we get pressure estimates of the form

$$pB(\varrho) \text{ bounded in } L^1_{loc}((0, T) \times \Omega)$$

for a suitable function  $B$ .

# Riesz operator

To this end, we introduce the *Riesz integral operator*,  $\mathcal{R}$  :

$$\mathcal{R}_i[v](\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_{x_i} = c \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon \leq |\mathbf{y}| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} v(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \frac{y_i}{|\mathbf{y}|^{N+1}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

or, equivalently, in terms of its Fourier symbol,

$$\mathcal{R}_i(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_i}{|\xi|}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

## Lemma (Calderon and Zygmund Theorem)

*The Riesz operator  $\mathcal{R}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  defined above is a bounded linear operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

# Lemma

Let  $\{\varrho_\delta, \mathbf{u}_\delta, \eta_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$  be a sequence of artificial pressure solutions. Then, there exists a constant  $c(T)$ , independent of  $\delta$ , such that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \varrho_\delta^{\gamma+\theta} dx dt \leq c(T),$$

where  $\Theta = \min\{\frac{2}{3}\gamma - 1, \frac{1}{4}\}$ .

If  $\Omega$  is unbounded, then  $\nabla_x \Phi$  is no longer integrable and we cannot simply apply existing results. To prove the bound in this case, let  $\Delta^{-1}$  be the inverse Laplacian realized using [Fourier multipliers](#). For each fixed  $\delta > 0$ , let the test-function  $\mathbf{v}_\delta$  be given as

$$\mathbf{v}_\delta = \nabla \Delta^{-1} \varrho_\delta^\theta.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{v}_\delta \in_b L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,s}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)).$$

Next, since  $(\varrho_\delta, \mathbf{u}_\delta)$  is a renormalized solution to the continuity equations, with  $B(\varrho_\delta) = \varrho_\delta^\theta$  states

$$\partial_t \varrho_\delta^\theta = -\operatorname{div}(\varrho_\delta^\theta \mathbf{u}) - (\theta - 1) \varrho_\delta^\theta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u},$$

in the sense of distributions on  $(0, T) \times \Omega$ . For notational convenience, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_\delta\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} &= \|\nabla \Delta^{-1} \partial_t \varrho_\delta^\theta\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\varrho_\delta^\theta \mathbf{u}_\delta\|_{L^p(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} + \|\varrho_\delta^\theta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^r(\Omega))}, \end{aligned}$$

for appropriate  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $r^* = q$ .

Next, we apply  $\mathbf{v}_\delta$  as test function for the momentum equation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a \varrho_\delta^{\gamma+\theta} \, dx dt \\
 &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta) \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\delta + \varrho_\delta \mathbf{u}_\delta \otimes \mathbf{u}_\delta : \nabla \mathbf{v}_\delta \, dx dt \\
 & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta \nabla \mathbf{v}_\delta + \lambda \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_\delta \, dx dt \\
 & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta_\delta \varrho_\delta^\theta - (\varrho_\delta \beta + \eta_\delta) \nabla \Phi_\delta \mathbf{v}_\delta \, dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{m}_0 \mathbf{v}_\delta(0, \cdot) \, dx \\
 & \quad := l_1 + l_2 + l_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

# Artificial pressure limit

At the previous step, the key in renormalizing the continuity equation was using the integrability gain from the artificial pressure to ensure that  $\varrho_\varepsilon$  was bounded in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Having lost this integrability through the passage  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and since we require that  $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$ , we proceed by defining the *oscillation defect measure*. our assumption that the pressure is given by  $p(\varrho) = \varrho^\gamma$  for  $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$  The oscillations defect measure is defined as

$$\mathbf{osc}_p[\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho](\mathcal{O}) := \sup_{k \geq 1} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |T_k(\varrho_\delta) - T_k(\varrho)|^p dv dt \right).$$

# The oscillation defect measure

$$\mathbf{osc}_p[\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho](\mathcal{O}) := \sup_{k \geq 1} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |T_k(\varrho_\delta) - T_k(\varrho)|^p dv dt \right).$$

The functions  $T_k$  are cutoff functions defined by

$$T_k(z) := kT\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)$$

where  $T$  is such that for nonnegative arguments,  $T(z) = z$  for  $z \in [0, 1]$ ,  $T(z) = 2$  for  $z \geq 3$ , and a smooth extension is used over the interval  $[0, 2]$ .

The validity of the weak continuity of the effective viscous pressure implies that the oscillations defect measure is bounded:

$$\mathbf{osc}_{\gamma+1}[\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho](\mathcal{O}) \leq c(|\mathcal{O}|).$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\varrho_\delta \rightarrow \varrho \text{ strongly in } L^1((0, T) \times \Omega).$$



# References

- **Carrillo, Karper, Trivisa** On the Dynamics of a Fluid-Particle Interaction Model: The Bubbling Regime. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2011)
- **Ballew, Trivisa** Weak-Strong Uniqueness of Solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Smolukowski System. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2013)
- **Ballew, Trivisa** Suitable Weak Solutions & Low Stratification Singular Limit to a Fluid-Particle Interaction Model. *QAM* (2012)
- **Dafermos** The second law of thermodynamics and stability. *ARMA* (1979).
- **Doboszczak, Trivisa** On a fluid-particle interaction model in a moving domain: Global existence of weak solutions. *FIC* (2015).
- **Feireisl** Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids. (Oxford University Press (2003))
- **P.-L. Lions** Mathematical topics in fluid dynamics Vol.2, Compressible models. (Oxford Science Publication (1998))